SUPREMACY

OF

St. PETER

AND THE

Bishops of Rome his Successors:

CONSIDER'D IN A

SERMON

Preached at SALTERS-HALL, January 23, 1734-5.

By DANIEL NEAL, M.A.

The SECOND EDITION.

LONDON:

Printed for R. HETT, at the Bible and Crown in the Poultry. MDCCXXXV.

[Price Four-Pence.]

SUMPREMACE

.70

Survey a rest

INTERNATION :

Biniops of Awar Ints and Mores



Projection of Secretary

At Frederica and the state of the

By DINTER WELL, MA.

Treens Estron.

Minted for H. H. ver, as the E. M. and Consorting the

Lincian Landi



MATT. xvi. 18, 19.

- 18. And I say also unto thee, that thou art Peter, and upon this rock I will build my church, and the gates of hell shall not prevail against it.
- 19. And I will give unto thee the keys of the kingdom of heaven, and what soever thou halt bind on earth shall be bound in beaven, and what sever thou shalt loose on earth shall be loofed in heaven. century, and affunced



HEN the Christian religion was first preached among the Gentiles, it prevailed by its own divine excellence and purity; but in process of time, the ambition and avarice of men de-

formed its native beauty, and weakened its influence, by blending it with worldly power, till by degrees the spiritual kingdom of Christ, which confifts in righteoufness and peace, was changed into a temporal monarchy over the bodies and fouls of men under one fovereign Pontiff, who arrogated the title of Successor of St. Peter, and Vicar-General of Christ upon Earth.

When the Roman empire became Christian, the EMPERORS affumed the supreme power in ecclefiaftical affairs, and maintained it fome hundreds of years.^a They confirmed the elections of bishops, called councils, and established their canons by an Imperial edict: They appointed judges for religious causes, and deposed bishops that were lapsed into herefy. Eusebius therefore, in the life of Constantine, calls him noivos 6 trionotos, the general bishop, or director of all things relating to the external polity of the church. But when the empire was divided and broken, by the irruption of the barbarous nations, the bishop of Rome started his claim to a primacy of jurisdiction over all Christian bishops; and taking advantage of the distractions of the empire, entirely threw off the Imperial yoke, about the IXth or Xth century, and affumed an absolute authority, not only over the Clergy, but over Kings and Emperors, and the whole Christian world, in all causes civil and ecclefiaftical. Some ages after, there was a fierce contest about the supremacy of the Pope over the whole collective body of the church. And tho' this point was not absolutely determined in the council of Trent, Bellarmine, the celebrated Romish champion, and his followers, have declared it unlawful to appeal from the fentence of the Pope to any future general council. Lan gom to elgot bun es

Date of the Tis

^{*} Spanh. Ec. Hift. p. 1102. Bec. xv.

"Tis a vast and boundless empire of superstition and flavery that is formed upon this usurpation; persons of all ranks are bound to believe whatever the Pope decrees, and to obey all his bulls on pain of damnation. If fovereign princes dare refift him, or refuse obedience to his arbitrary and imperious dictates, the trumpet is founded to fedition, fubjects are absolved from their allegiance, and commanded to bind their kings in chains, and their nobles in fetters of iron. If his Holiness is pleased to interdict whole kingdoms, the publick worship of God must cease, and their temples be shut up till they return to their duty ---- Vast contributions have been raised, and immense sums paid annually into the Pope's coffers, by Peter's-pence, the first fruits and tenths of the clergy, the fale of indulgences, Agnus Dei's, and other holy wares of the like kind, for the support of his unrighteous dominion. It was usual in England, before the reformation, to levy a tenth, and fometimes a fifth of all ecclefiaftical livings, for the fervice of the church, or fome expedition into the Holy Land, which confidering the vast number of Religious Houses in those times, produced an incredible revenue. Upon a computation, made by order of king Henry III. in the year 1245, it appeared, that more money was carried out of England annually by the Pope's authority, than all the revenues of the crown put together. And without doubt, the cirarches the tather and doctor of all

Fox's Acts and Mon. p. 325, 326.

the whole riches of the nation had been conveyed away thro' this channel, beyond recovery, had not the statutes of Provisors and Premunire taken place. --- Fierce and bloody wars have been kindled in the Christian world by his direction, not to mention the more compendious ways of dispatching hereticks by poison or murdering now and then an hundred thousand in cold blood, as in the massacres of Ireland, Paris, and the valleys of Piedmont. Our nation has been a remarkable example of all these in their turns. Never did Popery triumph with less controul in all its false and bloody colours, than in this island for several hundred years. And when the all-wife Providence of God raised up those glorious instruments of the Reformation, who delivered us from fuch barefaced usurpation, our holy mother the church became as merciles as she had been tyrannical and infatiable, and in the greatness of her charity excommunicated our rulers, diffolved the government, and doomed our fore-fathers, with all their posterity, to temporal mifery, and eternal perdition.

How monstrous and groundless soever this empire may appear, it has had the most publick and solemn fanctions of their church.

In the Lateran council it was decreed, "That the Roman High-Priest holds a primative of over the universal church, as successor of St. Peter, prince of the apostles; that he is the true lieutenant of Christ, and head of the church; the father and doctor of all "Christians,

" Christians, to whom all power is committed " to feed, direct, and govern the Catholick " church under Christ." Hence he claims the fole right of defining points of doctrine, and deciding controversies of faith, without liberty of appeal, even to a general council; and of binding and loofing, opening and shutting the gates of heaven at his pleasure. To fecure these powers, with many others of the like extravagant nature, he exacts the most folemn oaths from his clergy, and particularly from the bishops, who swear, "To be " faithful and obedient to St. Peter, and to " the holy Roman church, and our Lord the " Pope his fucceffor, to receive and execute " all his commands, to discover all plots and " conspiracies against him, to preserve and " defend the royalties of St. Peter to the ut-" most of their power, and to persecute and " oppose all hereticks, schismaticks, and re-" bels to our faid fovereign lord the Pope, or " his fucceffors." d So that all clergymen of the church of Rome, not born within the verge of the Ecclefiastical State, are subjects of a foreign power, and bound by the most sacred ties to lay violent hands on all who profess a religion different from their own. Nor is less care taken to secure the allegiance of the Lay-converts, who upon their reception into the Romish communion, are obliged to make the following folemn profession of their faith: " I acknowledge the holy, catholick, " apostolick,

⁴ Spanh, Ecc. Hift. p. 1830.

apostolick Roman church, for the mother and mistress of all churches, and I promise true obedience to the bishop of Rome, successor cessor to St. Peter, prince of the apostles, and vicar of Jesus Christ; and I do undoubtedly receive and profess all other things defined and declared by the sacred canons, general councils, and particularly the council of Trent, and I do anathematize all things contrary thereunto, and allow heresies which the church has condemned.

" rejected, and anathematized."

This is the current doctrine of the Roman church, which no man can reject without being reputed an enemy to the Apostolick See, and is esteemed of such importance, that Pope Boniface VIII. in one of his decrees has declared and pronounced it of necessity to salvation: Cardinal Bellarmine fays, the doctrine of the fupremacy is a fundamental article of their church: And we must concur with them in allowing this to be the basis of the whole controversy, and if well established, that their church is built upon a rock; but if it stands condemned by the concurrent testimony of Scripture, antiquity, and reason, the foundations of Popery are blown up, and the unwieldy fabrick falls to pieces.

Let us therefore consider, First, the evidence by which this extravagant claim is sup-

ported:

And then, the arguments that are brought to encounter it.

First, We are to consider the evidence which the Papists produce to support the above-mentioned supremacy. Had our Lord appointed a vicar-general on earth, we might expect to meet not only with his name in Scripture, but with the time and manner of his instalment, and with the deed of conveyance to his successors, in the most plain and significant words; or at least that it should be read in every page of antiquity: But if the most antient fathers of the church consent in any thing, it is in a general silence about this matter: The whole stress of the evidence is therefore laid upon the following obscure and metaphorical passages of Scripture.

The principal of which is in the first verse of my text; Thou art Peter, and upon this rock I will build my church. Here they argue (in their late profession of faith for the reception of converts into the church) "from the very "name of Peter, or Cephas, which fignifies " a Rock; which name our Lord, who does " nothing without reason, gave to him who " before was called Simon, to fignify that he " should be as a rock or foundation upon " which he would build his church." But fome learned men interpret the rock, of the confession of Peter's faith, mentioned a verse or two before, where our Lord having asked his disciples, whom men said he was, addresfes to his apostles; Whom fay ye that I am? Simon Peter answered and faid, Thou art Christ the Son of the living God. Whereupon, after

a commendation of his faith, our Lord replies, v. 16. I fay also unto thee, thou art Peter, and upon This Rock, that is, upon the confession thou hast made of me, I will build my church. This interpretation is countenanced by many doctors and fathers of the antient church. St. Chrysostome, in his comment upon the place, says, Upon the rock, that is, upon the faith of Peter's confession, I will build my church. And again, He did not build the church upon the man Peter, but upon his faith. Theodoret, St. Austin, and some of the Popish writers themselves, are of this mind.

But admitting, with St. Cyprian and others, Peter himself was the rock, What resemblance is there between a rock and a governor? at least, What assurance can we have, that the metaphor precisely imports this sense, when it may as fairly be interpreted to another? The rock of the church may signify its foundation or beginning, and thus it may have a particular respect to St. Peter, who laid the foundation of the first Christian church at Ferusalem, by his excellent sermon, Acts 2d, and made the first Gentile converts in Cesarea, according to the instructions he received afterwards, by a vision from heaven. And this was all the honour he claimed, when standing up in the council.

· Chryfoft. in John i. 50.

More testimonies to this purpose may be seen in Dr. Barrow's learned treatise on the Supremacy, p. 56, &c. Vid. Whithy in loc. Acts x. 9, &c.

know that a good while ago God chose me out among you, that by my mouth the Gentiles should hear the word. h But in this work St. Peter could have no successor, because that would be in effect to say, the foundations of the Christian church were not yet laid, which was fully accomplished above sixteen hundred years

ago.

But if by the rock we are to understand the foundation upon which the church's faith is built, it can have no particular reference to St. Peter, because the Scripture represents our Lord himself as the foundation and corner stone of his church: The stone which the builders refused (fays the Pfalmist) the same is become the head of the corner. Which paffage our Saviour applies to himself, Matt. xxi. 42. And it is an honour in which he will not be rival'd, for other foundation can no man lay than that is laid, even Jesus Christ.k next under him, the twelve apostles are the foundations and pillars of the Christian church, who were equally commissioned to preach the Gospel to all nations, and furnished with extraordinary abilities and powers for that purpose: Ye are built (fays St. Paul to the Ephesians) upon the foundation of the apostles and and prophets, i. e. upon their doctrine, Jesus Christ himself being the chief corner-stone! Here is no particular mention of St. Peter, which B 2

h Acts xv. 7. Píal. cxviii. 20. 1 Cor. iii. 11.
1 Eph. xi. 30.

one might reasonably have expected, had he been prince of the apostles. You fay the church is founded on Peter, (fays St. Hierom) but the same is built upon all the apostles. The twelve apostles were the immutable pillars of orthodoxy, the rock of the church, (faith another antient author). And St. Bafil adds, That Peter was but one of the mountains upon which the Lord did promise to build his church. This is the language of all antiquity; and whatever rank Peter might hold among the apostles, or what peculiar regards he might claim from his countrymen the Jews, as the apostle of the circumcision, it is certain he did not equal the apostle Paul among the Gentiles: St. Paul was properly their apostle, and he glories in it; I am the apostle of the Gentiles (fays he) and Grace was given me of God, that I should be the minister of Jesus Christ to the Gentiles: " In this province he laboured more abundantly than the rest, o and with greater success, striving to preach the Gospel where Christ had not been named, left he should build upon another's foundation; on which account he certainly deferves the highest honours.

But if after all, the Papists will build their church upon the *supremacy of St. Peter*, let them remember that upon this very *rock* it has once split; the *supremacy* being the first point of controversy that made way for the Reformation. This cut off the *British* Islands

from

^m Vid. Barrow, p. 58.

Rom. xi. 13.

Rom. xv. 20.

from that idolatrous communion, and feems to make a reconciliation impracticable. Had this been given up, England and Rome had been united more than once fince that time; but this keeps her wounds open, and is, in my humble opinion, that stone of stumbling, and rock of offence, which will one time or other fall up-

on her, and grind her to powder.

The fecond paffage to support the supremacy of St. Peter follows in the latter part of my text; And I will give unto thee the keys of the kingdom of heaven; and what soever thou shalt bind on earth, shall be bound in beaven; and what soever thou shalt loose on earth, shall be loofed in beaven. " Where (fays the late Ca-" techism) under the figure of the keys of the " kingdom of heaven, our Lord enfured to " Peter the chief authority in his church; as " when a king gives to one of his officers the " keys of a city, he thereby declares that he " makes him governor of that city." Are the keys then the enfigns of supreme authority? The Scribes and Pharifees had them in our Saviour's time, and yet were subject to the High-prieft; and 'tis no unufual thing for masters of families to entrust their keys with fervants without authorifing them to dispose of their treasure. The plain meaning of the passage is this: The kingdom of beaven is the kingdom of the Messiah, and the keys are St. Peter's commission to open the gates of it to the Gentiles. It follows, what soever ye shall bind on earth, shall be bound in beaven; that is, whatwhatever you shall declare to be forbidden under pain of my displeasure, shall render the offender obnoxious to my wrath; and whatfoever things ye shall loose on earth, tho' once required by the law of Moses, men shall be allowed to do them without incurring my displea-But whatever is meant by this grant, nothing was peculiarly promifed to St. Peter, because in other places it is given in common to all the apostles, Matth. xviii. 18. Verily I say unto you, Whatsoever YE shall bind on earth, shall be bound in beaven; and what soever YE shall loofe on earth, shall be loofed in heaven. Again, when our Lord appeared to his apostles after his refurrection, be breathed upon them, and faid, Receive ye the holy Ghoft; as the Father has fent me, even so I send you; whosesoever sins YE remit, they are remitted unto them; and whosesoever fins YE retain, they are retained. The apostles had the discerning of spirits, and the extraordinary gifts of the Holy Ghost to direct their judgments in the exercise of their extraordinary powers; and if his Holiness of Rome can convince the world, he is possessed of the same infallible Spirit, I think all mankind should kiss his slipper, and apply to him in the most humble manner, for an admission into the kingdom of heaven.

A third passage insisted upon by the advocates for St. Peter's supremacy is Luke xxii. 31, 32. The Lord said, Simon, Simon, behold Satan has desired to have thee, that he might winnow thee as wheat; but I have prayed for thee, that thy

thy faith fail not; and when thou art converted, strengthen thy brethren. In which text (fay the Romanists) " our Lord not only declared " his particular concern for Peter, in praying " that his faith might not fail, but also com-" mitted to him the care of his brethren, the " other apostles, in charging him to confirm " and strengthen them." The words have a manifest reference to St. Peter's denial of his master in the high-priest's hall, when his faith was in fuch danger of failing, that if our Lord had not looked upon him, it might never have recovered; but when he should be restored, he exhorts him to confirm and strengthen his brethren, who, not being able to abide the like trial, forfook their Mafter and fled, when he was apprehended in the garden. What relation this can have to St. Peter's supremacy over the rest of the apostles, or to the pretended jurisdiction of his fuccessors over the whole christian world, is hard to discover. The primitive Christians encouraged each other to suffer martyrdom; and the confessors and martyrs in Queen Mary's days strengthened and confirmed their brethren in prison, by conference, by exhortations and prayers, without claiming an authority over their faith. Men must be reduced to very great extremities, when they build fo weighty a fabrick upon fo flender a foundation.

The last passage of Scripture insisted on is John xxi. 15, 16, 17. where our Lord, in allusion to Peter's having denied him thrice, commands

mands him three times to feed his lambs and his (beep. In which text (fays the late Catechism) " our Lord in a most solemn manner thrice committed to St. Peter the care of his whole " flock, of all his sheep without exception, that is, of the whole church." Who could imagine unlimited fovereignty could be contained in this harmless precept! And what is there in it peculiar to Peter? Was not the fame commission given to all the apostles, when they were commanded to teach all nations? And is not the like charge given to every bishop or pastor of the church? When the apostle Paul took leave of the elders of Ephesus, he commanded them to take heed of the flock over which the Holy Ghoft had made them overfeers to feed the church of God , which he had purchased with his blood; and St. Peter, in one of his catholick epiftles to the strangers that were scattered abroad thro' Pontus, Galatia and Cappadocia, exhorts the elders among them to feed their several flocks; taking the overfight of them not by constraint, but willingly; not for filthy lucre, but of a ready mind.

This is the whole evidence by which this important doctrine is supported. If then it should be admitted, St. Peter was one of the chief of the apostles, as being the eldest and first in order, and that he stood high in the esteem of our Lord, on account of his courage and boldness in his cause; yet it does not ap-

pear from the texts above-mention'd, or any other, that an authority was given him over the rest of the apostles; much less that he was constituted head of the universal church, and vicar-general of Christ upon earth.

We proceed, in the fecond place, to produce the arguments from scripture and antiquity, which demonstrate the falseness of this claim.

And first, There is not the least mention of fuch an officer in scripture. If Peter had been appointed primate of the apostles, with jurisdiction over the whole christian church, is it confistent with the wisdom and goodness of God not to mention it in express words, especially if the knowledge of it is of the utmost importance to the very being of Christianity, and the eternal happiness of mankind? But is any thing like this recorded in the whole New Testament? Surely St. Paul must have been guilty of a very great omiffion, when he was enumerating the feveral officers of the Christian church, not to mention the CHIEF: For he fays no more, than that our Lord gave some apostles, some prophets, some evangelists, some pastors and teachers." And more expressly in his epistle to the Corinthians; God bath fet some in the church, first apostles, secondarily prophets, thirdly teachers, and after that miracles and gifts. If our Lord had constituted a supreme head, should it not rather have been, he hath fet some in the church; first, a Pope; secondarily, apo-

Fphes. iv. 8, 11.

¹ Cor. xii. 28.

files; thirdly, prophets; and then pastors and teachers? But St. Paul knew no such officer.

Secondly, Our Lord himself has frequently declared against a superiority among his apostles. When there was a strife among them who should be greatest, he presently puts an end to it, not by naming the person, but by assuring them he intended no fuch thing. The kings of the earth exercise lordship over their fubjects; but ye shall not be so; but he that is greater, [i. e. in gifts or knowledge] let him be as the younger; and he that is chief, as he that does serve. When two of the apostles, James and John, affected a pre-eminence above the rest, by desiring to sit, one at our Lord's right hand, and the other at his left in his kingdom, he rejected their fuit, and check'd their ambition, " by telling them they knew not what they asked; and when the other ten heard it, they were moved with indignation." At another time, when a dispute arose among them who should be greatest, as soon as our Lord heard of it, he fat down and called the twelve, and faid unto them, If any man defire to be first, the same shall be last of all, and servant of all. And in his fermon against the Pharifees, he says to his difciples, Be not ye called Rabbi; for one is your master, even Christ, and all ye are brethren.

Thirdly, Neither did the apostles after our Lord's ascension into beaven admit it. There is no instance in the New Testament of St. Pe-

ter's

^{*} Luke xxii. 24. " Mark x. 37, 38. " Mat. xx. 24, * Mark ix. 35. " Matth. xxiii. 8.

ter's fetting himself up for head of the church, or laying his commands on the rest of the apostles, but rather the contrary. When the apostles at Jerusalem heard that the people at Samaria had received the word, they fent Peter and John's to pray for them, that they might receive the Holy Ghost; who, in obedience to their orders, readily undertook the journey, and discharged their trust. Nor did Paul and Barnabas appeal to St. Peter in the controversies that arose between them about the necessity of circumcision; but referr'd the question to all the apostles and elders at Jerusalem, who when they had confider'd it, return'd an answer, a not in the name of PETER, by divine appointment prince of the apostles, and lieutenant-general of Christ upon earth; but in the common name of the apostles, elders, and brethren. Nor does St. Peter in his writings affect an authority over his brethren; there is nothing of the style or spirit of a e rigely boosties

2 Acts viii. 14. 1110 3318 a Acts xv. 2, 23.

The fathers in express terms affert all the apostles to be co-ordinate in power and authority. St. Cyprian says, Hoc erant utique & cæteri apostoli quod suit Petrus, pari consortio præditi & honoris & potestatis. The other apostles were that which Peter was, invested with an equality of honour and power. St. Chrysostom says, that St. Paul sheweth that each apostle did enjoy equal dignity, in Gal. ii. 8. and comparing St. Paul to St. Peter, he calls St. Paul, ironuor and, equal in honour to him; adding, where of esserties, for I will not as yet say any more, in Gal. i. 8. St. Cyril, Hierom, Dionysius, Isidore, and all the fathers of the three first centuries use the same language.

fovereign Pontiff in his catholick epiftles, but the foftest and most condescending language, like one that would be thought to be least of the apostles rather than the greatest. The elders that are among you I exhort, who also am an Elder, and a witness of the sufferings of Christ, and a partaker of the glory that shall be revealed. And tho' St. Paul was born out of due time, and did not see our Lord in the slesh, yet in nothing was he behind the very Chief of the apostles; and with regard to St. Peter, he withstood him to the face; which is more than the stoutest Cardinal would venture to do, to his pretended successor at Rome. But,

Fourthly, It does not appear that St. Peter was bishop of Rome. I will not affirm, with some learned criticks, that St. Peter never was there, but it is more than probable he was not their bishop. Baronius and other Popish writers affirm, that St. Peter became bishop of Rome in the second year of Claudius Cafar, A. C. XLII, and fat in the episcopal chair 25 years. And the late Catechism says, "He " translated his chair from Antioch to Rome, " and died bishop of that city." But they do not tell us how he became so; whether our Lord appointed him their bishop, or the apoftles ordained him, or the people elected him, or he thrust himself into the office. Nor is there any account of it in scripture, or the ancient fathers. We read in the New Testament of St. Peter's being at Jerusalem, at Antioch, to fathers of the three ote the fame lan-

at Babylon, and at Corinth, but not once of his being at Rome, unless Babylon stands for that city, which the Romanists are not willing to admit upon other occasions. We are told of his travels thro' Cappadocia, Sicilia, Pontus and Bythinia, and most Parts of Asia; and yet, according to St. Luke, the chief of his famous acts were done in Palestine: so that if he was bishop of Rome, it must be allowed that for the most part he was a non-resident. But is it possible to suppose that St. Peter resided at Rome as bishop of that city, and that St. Paul in his epistle to the Romans, written fifteen years after his supposed translation, should not falute him among the rest of the brethren, whose names he mentions in the fixteenth chapter? Nor in his epistle to the Galatians, Ephefians, Philippians, Coloffians, and fecond to Timothy, all written from Rome, where he lived two whole years in his own hired house; not once send his falutation among others to the feveral churches? He was certainly not at Rome, when St. Paul, writing from that city to the Coloffians, fays that Tychicus, Onesimus, Aristarchus, Marcus and Justus were alone his fellow-labourers, which had been a comfort to him; f nor when St. Paul made his first defence before Cafar, and said, That no man stood with him, but all men for sook bim; no, nor at his second appearing before Nero, when the time of his departure was at band; 8 for having fent the salutations of all

e Vid. Spanheim, p. 536, 537.

Col. iv. 11. 2 Tim. iv. 16, 21.

the brethren to Timothy, and particularly of Eubulus, Pudens, Linus and Claudia, he takes no notice of Peter; which is unpardonable, if he was then bishop of the city. The writers of the first age are also filent upon this head. Many legends and fabulous reports were raised of St. Peter after his death; but (says the learned Joseph Scaliger) from the end of the Acts of the apostles to the time of Pliny the younger, (who lived under the Emperor Trajan) there is nothing certain in the history of the church.

Besides, tis hardly credible that St. Peter, being the chief apostle, and a general officer in the church, should condescend to become bishop of a fingle city, and is as great a disparagement to his character, as if the general of an army should lay down his commission to become captain of a private company; for the two Offices are hardly confiftent in one person. The apostles were commissioned to preach the gospel in all nations, but a bishop is to reside in his diocese, and feed the particular flock committed to his charge. If then St. Peter was an apostle, he could not perform the duty of a bishop by residing in one city or neighbourhood; and if he was a bishop, he could not discharge the office of an apostle by travelling into distant countries. So that here is a failure in the foundation; for if St. Peter was not bishop of Rome, 'tis impossible the present Pope should be his successor. But,

Fifthly, If we should admit that St. Peter was bishop of Rome, the grant of the supremacy might be only personal, and terminate with him-

felf. For it is agreed on both fides, that the Scripture makes no mention of Peter's fuccessors; and we all know the apostolical office did not descend to after ages. The Catechism fays, " that it is evident by the unanimous confent " of the fathers, and the tradition of the " church in all ages, that the commission " given to St. Peter descended to the Popes or " bishops of Rome." But I may venture to challenge all that is between this and Rome to prove fuch confent; the fathers never dream'd of an universal monarch over the whole church, and are entirely filent about it; but if they were not, neither their authority, nor the dark and obscure tradition of later ages ought to-avail against scripture and reason. follow, because Christ said to Peter, upon this rock I will build my church, that therefore he meant it of his fucceffors; when there is no intimation of an entail throughout the whole New Testament, nor any communication of his apostolical gifts? Besides, if St. Peter was bishop of Antioch seven years before his pretended translation to Rome, why should not his fucceffors in that See have the better claim? For the story of his translation by a special command from Christ, is an exploded fable; but none of the bishops as yet put in their claim to the fupremacy. When the Empire became Christian, the bishops of the chief cities claimed the first rank among their brethren, but His Holiness of Rome had no higher title than Dominus frater, and Comminister, a Brother and Fellows.

low-Servant, for feveral ages. Pope Gregory I. who lived fix hundred years after Christ, f abhorsed the title of Oecumenical or universal Bishop, calling it a diabolical usurpation, and the forerunner of antichrift; and he gives these reasons for it in his Epistles, because it was never given to St. Peter; because none of the bishops of Rome till that time had assumed it; because it was contrary to the canons, to the decrees of the fathers, and an affront to Almighty God himfelf. The Bishop of Rome then did not presume to call councils by his own fovereign authority, nor fit as judge in controversies of faith, much less to depose fovereign princes; nay, he had not so much as a casting voice, nor so small a preference as to fign the Canons always in the first place. The four first general councils were convened by the express command of the Roman Emperors, who prefided in person, or by their deputies; but the Pope's fupremacy was not thought of for above 500 years after Christ, nor obtained after many struggles till almost a thousand.

Sixthly, If we should grant for argument's sake, that the supremacy descended to St. Peter's successors in the See of Rome, we shall find it almost impossible to derive the succession in an uninterrupted line through the several ages of the church. And yet the Popish catechism says, that "those only who can derive their lineage" from the apostles are the heirs of the apostles, and consequently they alone can claim "a right

¹ Spanh. p. 1088.

" a right to the scriptures, to the administra"tion of the sacraments, or any share in the
"pastoral office; it is their proper inheritance
"which they have received from the apostles,
and the apostles from Christ." Let us
therefore examine this line, upon which the
validity of all Christian administrations depends; if this should fail or be interrupted, the
whole Christian church is lost, for nobody
can then claim a right to the scriptures or any

part of the pastoral office.

Now the fuccessors of St. Peter should certainly be good men, and endued with an apostolick spirit. A bishop (says the Apostle) must be blameless, vigilant, sober, and of good behaviour, not given to wine or filthy lucre.8 But has this been the general character of the Roman Pontiffs? Have not some been reputed magicians and necromancers, h and others undoubted monsters of iniquity? Witness the Alexanders, the Hildebrands, the Gregorys, the Bonifaces, whose lewd and flagitious lives are hardly to be parallel'd in history. Baronius their own historian confesses, that for a succesfion of 50 Popes, not one pious or virtuous man fat in the chair; some were advanced to the papal dignity at 10 or 12 years of age; others have been murderers and whoremongers, not to mention the accounts in history of a female Pope. Are these the heirs of St. Peter, and vicars of Christ upon earth? Can the apostolical or facerdotal character be convey'd thro' fuch impure canals? How prophane was that faying of Pope

^{*} Tim, iii. 2. h Acts & Mon. V. I. p. 675.

Leo X. Quam lucrosa nobis est fabula Christi! What a rare market have we made of this fable of Jesus Christ! "And indeed (says archbishop "Tillotsonk) there is not a more compendious "way of persuading men that the Christian "religion is a fable, than to set up a lewd and

" vicious man as the oracle of it."

The fuccessors of St. Peter should be found in the faith, because our Lord hath pray'd that their faith should not fail. And yet, notwith-standing their consident pretences to infallibility, several bishops of Rome have been condemned and deposed for herefy, their own general councils being judges. But if the writings of the New Testament be the standard of orthodoxy, what a monstrous train of doctrines have they introduced contrary to, and subversive of the faith once delivered to the faints! ——— But I forbear descending to particulars, lest I should encroach on the province of my worthy brother who next succeeds me, and who is much more equal to the service.

Further, the true successors of St. Peter should be chosen by the proper persons, and in a disinterested manner. In ancient time the clergy and people of Rome elected their own bishops, the order of Cardinals not being known in the Christian church for almost a thousand years; nor were they the sole Electors, till the constitutions of Pope Gregory X, m above 1200 years after Christ. But supposing the choice to be in them, let us view the

Con-

Spanh. p. 1891. k V. II. p. 251.
Pope John XXIII. and others, Spanh. 1709.

Conclave and observe whether the Holy Ghost sits on every head and directs every vote. Is there no criminal ambition? No bribery and corruption? Are there no simoniacal practices? Do not the interests of the several potentates of Europe govern the ballot as much or more than the exemplary piety and capacity of the candidates? If the world is not very uncharitable, the intrigues of the Conclave exceed the most refined policy of all the courts of Europe together.——Blessed Jesus! Are these the guardians of thy doctrine, the pillars of thy church and kingdom? Can persons advanced by such infamous methods be thy representatives or successors of thy holy apostle?

And after all the regulations that have been made in the choice of a Pope, by confining the election to the Cardinals, by shutting them up in their cells, and allowing none to converse with them till two thirds are agreed, yet the line of fuccession has been notoriously broken.---For feveral years there was no Pope at all, then two or three together, " who divided the profits, and spent them in all kinds of rioting and debauchery. Onuphrius in his lives of the Popes reckons up 30 schisms in the Roman church, and Baronius admits 22, the most considerable of which in the 14th Century continued 50 years; one Pope refiding at Rome, and his brother Pope at Avignon in France, each anathematizing the other, and condemning his decrees. Now in every fchifm, one at least must be the usurper; if the Popes

* Spanh. 1516, 1818.

at Rome were the rightful successors of St. Peter, all the holy orders conferred by those at Avignon must be null; and if we should trace this back through twenty or thirty divisions, I doubt the line of succession would be lost long before we come up to St. Peter; so that if the right of the scriptures, and administration of the sacraments be the proper inheritance of those only who are heirs of the apostles, by an uninterrupted lineage, it may reasonably be questioned whether there be any Christian church or clergyman in the world; but thanks be to God the Christian religion does not hang

upon fo flender a thread.

Seventhly, We may argue against the supremacy, from the extent of the office which it is not possible for a single person to discharge. The duty of an universal Pastor is to govern and feed the whole church; but who can manage univerfal empire? What Atlantick shoulder can fustain the burden of dispensing justice to the whole world? What strength of body or vigor of mind can go through the bufiness of hearing all appeals, of deciding all controverfies, and of rectifying all diforders in the whole universe? For God has promised to give his Son the heathen for his inheritance, and the uttermost parts of the earth for his Possession. If therefore our Lord had intended fuch a general officer in his church, he would have furnished some persons with superior abilities to the rest of mankind to fill the chair; But is any fuch peculiar genius found amongst

them? To fay this may be done by Legates or Nuncios, is nothing to the purpose, because the Pope only is infallible, and therefore all appeals are referred to himself. Besides, how vast must be the expence, how great the delay of justice, and how tedious the journeys for men to travel with their fuits from all parts of the habitable world to Rome for a decision? If the government of a kingdom of moderate extent, be as much as one wife prince can manage; or if a fmall diocese be sufficient to employ the time and labours of a faithful bishop; how impossible must it be for a clergyman advanced in years, in the decline of life, and under visible decays of body and mind to direct and govern the Christian world.

The other branch of the episcopal function is to feed the sheep; this was given in charge to St. Peter, and is incumbent upon all his fucceffors. If his Holiness therefore would discharge his pastoral duty, he ought not to sit in his chair at Rome, but travel through the unbelieving nations, in order to their conversion. Were the shepherds of Ifrael cursed, p because they eat the fat, and cloathed themselves with the wool, and killed them that were fed, but did not feed the flock? What then must be the portion of an universal bishop that never preaches at all? Does the Pope visit his diocese, or labour in word or doctrine? Does he feed his flock with knowledge and understanding? No, but he takes away the key of knowledge, and having obliged the people to shut their eyes, puts into

P Ezek. xxxiv. 3, 4

into their hands pardons, indulgences, and confecrated toys, fitter to please and quiet froward children, than to furnish a reasonable

mind with religion or virtue.

Now if we lay these things together, and confider, the filence of the facred records and genuine remains of antiquity about a fupreme visible head; the uncertainty of St. Peter's being Bishop of Rome, and of the supremacy's being granted not only to him, but his successors, upon which their whole title depends; the character of those who have sat in the Papal chair; the impossibility of deriving their lineage from St. Peter thro' fo many schisms and divisions; and the extent of the office, which is beyond the limits of a finite capacity to discharge, it will amount to a demonstration that the hierarchy of the church of Rome is built upon the Sand, and that their Popes have no better a claim to an apostolical fupremacy over the Christian world, than to their extraordinary gifts and powers.

I shall conclude this discourse with the fol-

lowing remarks.

First, That Jesus Christ is the only supreme bead of his Church. This is the constant language of scripture, One is your master, even Chrift .--- He is the head of the body, the church, that in all things he might have the pre-eminence. --- God has put all things under his feet, and given him to be head over all things to the church. --- " I would have you know, (fays the apostle to the Corintbians) that the head of every man

is Christ, and the head of Christ is God. Should it not rather have been, the head of every man is the Pope, and the head of the Pope is Christ? But no head upon earth is capable of governing fuch a body, nor may any man affume this honour to himself; the Son of God alone is equal to this high station, who holds the stars in his right hand, and by virtue of the immensity of his presence, is capable of governing and feeding the diffusive body of his church in every part of the world, 'Which being united to him their head, by joints and bands, receiveth nourishment, and encreases with the increase of God. Let no man therefore dare to take the sceptre out of his hand, and place it in the hands of a frail man, whose breath is in his nostrils. Kings and Princes may be protectors of the church, and defenders of its faith from oppression and violence within their dominions, but Christ only is our living head.

Secondly, The unity of the Catholick church does not confift in its relation to one visible head, but in its union to Christ. All that profess true faith in him, and love to his disciples, and who adorn their profession by undissembled piety and virtue, are members of that one mystical body of which he is head; for there is but one body and one spirit, one Lord, one faith, one baptism, one God and Father of all, who is above all, and thro' all, and in you all. ""There is no mention in scripture, or primitive antiquity, of an union of all Christians under

" one

^t Colof. ii. 19. ^u Barrow of Unity of the Church, p. 293.

" one political head, (fays the judicious Dr. " Barrow) nor does it confift with the na-" ture and genius of the gospel kingdom, "which is not of this world, but difavows " politick artifices, and fleshly wisdom; it dis-" countenances the imposition of all new laws " and precepts, but fuch as are necessary for " order and edification; it disclaims all world-" ly power and dominion, and is to be go-" verned by gentleness and meekness, argu-" ment and persuasion: Whereas if it was a " political body, (fays the Doctor) it must be " the reverse of all this; it must be supported " by riches and wealth, by force and violence, " by courts of judicature and penal laws. All " which things do much difagree from the " original defign of the Christian church, " which is averse from pomp, doth reject do-" mination, does not require craft, wealth, " or force to maintain it, but did at first, and " may fubfift without any fuch means."

Thirdly, A supreme jurisdiction and authority over the Christian church is the very mark and character of the man of sin and son of perdition; who is said, we to oppose, or exalt himself above all that is called God, or that is worshipped; so that he as God sitteth in the temple of God, shewing that he is God. Kings and Emperors, in the language of scripture, are called Gods, on account of their sovereign authority and power; but his Holiness of Rome has declared the spiritual power to be above the temporal; and has shewed that he is God, not only

only by accepting the titles of Deity, as * Most boly Father; Lord God; Priest of the World, and Vicar General of Christ upon Earth; but by treading on the necks of Emperors, by kicking off their crowns with his foot, and obliging them to hold his stirrup, when he mounted his horse: The Emperor Henry IV. with his Empress and little children waited three days and three nights barefoot, at the gates of the Pope's palace for absolution, and after all lost his territories, which the haughty Pope gave to Rodulphus, with this inscription about the crown: y Petra dedit Petro, Petrus Diadema Rodulpho: The Rock gave the Crown to Peter, and Peter gives it to Rodulph. If we take a view of his Holiness in his Pontifical Grandeur, we may fee him fitting in the Temple of God, upon a Throne high and lifted up, with a triple crown on his head, a triple cross in one hand, and a naked fword in the other; with the keys of the kingdom of Heaven at his girdle, and a feven-fold feal, in token of the seven-fold gifts of the Holy Ghost; surrounded with Cardinals arrayed in purple, attended with ambaffadors from all nations; and when he appears in publick, multitudes lie proftrate in his presence, and pay him the most facred and divine worship. Can this be the successor of poor Peter, who told the lame man at the gate of the Temple, that filver and gold he had none? Or is it not rather the character of that Anti-christ, whom God has threatned to conentaniona Edi

y Ib. V. II. p. 202.

^{*} Acts & Mon. V. I. p. 10. Spanh. p. 1897.

Sume with the spirit of his mouth, and destroy

with the brightness of his coming.

Fourthly, How dangerous must it be to continue in a church, the validity of all whose administrations depends upon an uninterrupted succession from St. Peter; for if the line should fail, or be broken, the whole Christian world must return to Heathenism, and be left to the uncovenanted mercies of God. Surely if our Lord had defigned to hang the whole of his religion upon this chain, he would have preferved it entire, and made every link of it visible to the fatisfaction of the whole world; but as things now stand, all is uncertain; a Christian can never be fatisfied that he hears the word of God from the lips of one that has a right to interpret it, or receives the holy facrament from the hands of one that can derive his orders, in a direct line, from the apostles. It is therefore unaccountable that any who call themselves Protestants, should be fond of deriving the validity of their Sacerdotal Functions thro' this impure and corrupt channel, which has been fo often cut off from its fountain, and is fo far from the apostolick purity, that it seems for several ages to have had no other parent, than the mother of barlots, and abominations of the earth. The Protestant religion is a much safer way of salvation, which admits persons duly qualified and folemnly separated to the pastoral office, to be rightful ministers of Jesus Christ, and entitled to administer all Christian ordinances with efficacy, wherever the providence of God shall cast their lot. h Ada & Men. V. L. B. I

Fifthly, We may observe the vassalage and Ravery of the Romish communion, which requires an absolute subjection of soul and body, to the sovereign will and pleasure of the Pope. Men must not judge for themselves, but take every thing upon the word of the Priest, who will insure their falvation at the peril of his own. The inspired apostle Paul never claimed this privilege; he would have z Every one perfuaded in his own mind, and if they differed, to do it in love; he disclaims a dominion over the people's faith; and the apostle Peter forbids the Elders who had the overfight of the flock, a to lord it over God's beritage. But is this the manner of the church of Rome, which requires a blind and implicit faith from all her members, and commands us to lay afide our understandings in order to become good Chriftians? Strange! That men should have liberty to exercise their reason in the common asfairs of life, and be obliged to shut their eyes and be stark blind in matters of faith; nay, the Papists require us not only to lay aside our understandings, but to believe in direct opposition and defiance to them; for if the Pope should decree virtue to be vice, and vice virtue, we are bound in conscience, (says Cardinal Bellarmine) to believe it. Is there any thing like this among the most barbarous Nations?

But not content with abusing our consciences they deprive us of our estates and liberties; Popery and slavery are inseparable; and an Inquisition in the church is a sure mark of slavery

E 2

111

² Phil, iii. 15, 16.

in the state. The liberties of England grew up with the Reformation and will certainly die with it; when Popery got possession of the British throne in the person of King James II, out laws were presently suspended, our charters taken from us, and our whole constitution subverted: the knife was then at our throats, and the only choice that seemed lest, was to turn or burn. The populace were alarmed, and Protestants shook their chains and groaned for a deliverer, which God of his infinite mercy sent us in the person of the great King William III, who without violence or blood delivered this nation from Popery; and all Europe from the chains and fetters, that a great and successful tyrant

had prepared for them.

It follows from hence, in the last place, that an open toleration of the Popish religion is inconfiftent with the safety of a free people and a Protestant Government. Every Roman-Catholick having professed allegiance to a foreign power must be an avowed enemy to his King and country. It is therefore made death by a very fevere law for any priest to pervert any of his Majesty's subjects to Popery, or for any private person to be willingly reconciled to the Romish communion, because as often as Popery gains a proselyte, the King looses a subject. The words of the statute b are these, which I the rather mention because their laity may not be acquainted with them: " All persons pretending to have power, who shall absolve, persuade, or withdraw any of the Queen's subjects from the religion now established, to the

[&]quot; Romish

Romisto religion, or move them to promise obedience to the See of Rome, being thereof lawfully convicted, shall suffer as in case of high-treason,----And if any person after " the end of this parliament, be willingly ab-" folved, or withdrawn as aforefaid, or wil-" ingly be reconciled, or shall promise any " obedience to any pretended prince, poten-" tate, or usurped authority from Rome, then every fuch person their procurers and coun-" fellors being thereof lawfully convicted, shall " be taken, tried, and judged, and shall suffer " as in cases of high-treason," So that, not only every priest, but every new convert to Popery is a traytor, and a dead man by the laws of his country; the most facred obligations being then judged an infufficient fecurity, from men who had delivered up their consciences into the hands of those who pretend to bind and loose men from their fins, and to absolve them from the most solemn oaths, or dispense with them, for the fervice of the Catholick cause. -- Far be it from Protestant Diffenters to plead for persecution or fanguinary laws, or even negative discouragements for religious principles not subversive of the foundations of fociety and civil government. Every faithful subject ought to be protected in his religious as well as civil rights, but if men's religion teaches them rebellion; and every convert to Popery is by principle an enemy to the constitution of his country, and a friend to the Pretender to his Majesty's crown: and dignity; furely the government may preferve itself. Besides 'tis a known maxim of

Popery, and was decreed in the xixth feffion of the council of Constance, that no faith is to be kept with Hereticks, or Protestants; and our histories abundantly testify that where they have had power they have religiously observed it, as appears by the violation of the Edict of Nantes in France against the faith of the most solemn treaties; the massacres of Paris and Ireland, in which no ties of nature or friendship could prevent the staining their hands with the blood of their nearest Protestant relations; not to infift upon their many plots and conspiracies against the reformed religion in England, and particularly that wherein King, Lords, and Commons affembled in Parliament were to be blown up at once: only I must observe, that all the conspirators were absolved, and Garnet their provincial, who performed the office, is commended by Bellarmine as a man of incomparable fanctity and holiness of life.

But they tell us their natures are now changed, and their principles not so fierce and bloody as formerly. Are they fo? Then may the Ethiopian change his skin and the leper his spots. Have we already forgot the late cruelties at Thorn the numbers of Palatines that were driven from their native country purely for religion about 20 years ago, and the present usage of the Saltzburghers by their spiritual tyrant?---- I would not fill your heads with imaginary dangers; but this I am fure of, great industry is used by numbers of priests (even in defiance of a law that makes it death) to undermine the Protestant religion. Bribes are given, and large promifes

^{*} Spanh. Ec. Hift, p. 1845.

promises of support and encouragement to the meaner people; catechisms and books of devotion are privately dispersed; Mass-houses are erected in feveral parts of the city and fuburbs, and priefts officiate almost with open doors; they infinuate themselves into private families, and kindly relieve the necessitous and distress'd. on condition of their living and dying in the bosom of the Catholick church. These are the new methods of conversion. But shall Protestants be asleep while the Enemy is cutting away the ground from under their feet? Have we any concern for the reformed religion, for the liberties of our country, and for the welfare of our dear children and posterity? Let us then stand fast in the liberty wherewith Christ has made us free. Let Churchmen and Diffenters lay afide their jealoufies of each other, and bend their united forces against the common enemy. And may the wisdom of the legislature be directed to fuch measures confistent with the laws of Christianity, and the natural rights of mankind, as may strengthen the Protestant interest and effectually prevent the growth of a religion which would rob us of all that is dear to us as Men and Christians!

The ministers of Christ are obliged more especially to appear in the front of the battle, not only to animate their people and arm them against the approaching danger, but to endeavour the delivering these deluded souls out of the snare of the Devil, by persuading them to read the Holy Scriptures, and use the understandings God has given them to distinguish between truth and error; and by praying that

God

God would enlighten their minds, and give them repentance to the acknowledgment of the truth: but if after our most fervent prayers and kind endeavours for their conversion, they will shut their eyes, and go on blindfold in a religion that has neither scripture, reason, nor common sense to support it; if they will profess allegiance to a foreign tyrant, and vow the destruction of their King and Country, when their superiors shall command them to the fervice; we may then lawfully join in that form of prayer and thanksgiving appointed by Parliament for the fifth of November, before it was altered by archbishop Laud. " -- Be " thou still, O Lord, our mighty protector, and fcatter our cruel enemies which delight in " blood; infatuate their counfels, and root " out that Babylonish and antichristian Sect, " which fay with Ferufalem, Down with it, "Down with it to the ground, ---- And to that se end strengthen the hands of our gracious "King, the nobles, and magistrates of the " land, with judgment and justice, to cut off " those workers of iniquity, whose religion is rebellion, whose faith is faction, whose " practice is murdering of fouls and bodies; ss and to root them out of the confines and li-" mits of this kingdom, that they may never prevail against us, and triumph in the ruins " of thy church; and give us grace by true and " ferious repentance to avert these and the like " judgments from us, for thy dear Son's fake, " our only mediator and advocate;" to whom be glory in the churches throughout all ages world without end. Amen. 2 AU71

